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## Abstrakt

Cielom tejto bakalárskej práce je vytvorenie geometrickej mapy z hrubých senzorických dát, nazbieraných mobilným robotom. Takáto geometrická mapa môže slúžit pre algoritmy pre plánovanie trajektórie robota, prípadne pre simuláciu prostredia v ktorom sa robot pohybuje. Implementovaný algoritmus využíva vzájomné súperenie jednotlivých clustrov o namerané priestorové body. Metóda bola testovaná na reálnych dátach kde z celkového počtu pol milióna bodov vytvorila 30 polygónov. Výsledný model prostredia bol taktiež využitý v simulátore projektu Symbrion.


#### Abstract

The goal of this bachelor thesis is to create a geometrical map from raw sensory data collected by a mobile robot. This map can serve as an input for algorithms for planning robots trajectory or for simulation of its environment. The algorithm is based on rivalry between clusters which are competing for measured points. This method was tested by using real data producing 30 polygons from approximately 500,000 points. Resulting environmental model was tested in simulator of Large-Scale Integrated Project Symbrion.
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## 1 Introduction

From the very beginning of robot construction, there was an idea to make them fully autonomous. That means, to act on their own, independent on human supervision. These robots should be able to plan their trajectory, avoid collisions, fold clothes, etc. A fundamental issue of robot-environment interaction is the capability of the control system to model the environment. With an appropriate surrounding model robots are able to make predictions about their next states. Robots have to perform complex tasks at real time so it is important to use appropriate environment representation. There are several ways to represent environment models and some of them will be introduced in the following sections.

It is common that robots store information about the outside world in a form of a point cloud. A point cloud is a set of points in a three-dimensional coordinate system which can be measured by various types of sensors such as:

- stereo camera systems (such as Loreo),
- laser scanners (such as SICK laser),
- time of flight camera (such as SwissRanger),
- structured light systems (such as Kinect).

To construct a point cloud using a sensor mounted on a mobile robot, position of the robot has to be known. In figure 1 a point cloud is collected by a mobile robot localized by odometry.

(a) Measurement

(b) Result

Figure 1: Point cloud measurement example

The data in a form of a point cloud are not suitable for simulation or motion planning because of memory requirements, and time-consumption of processing algorithms. These are the reasons why a point cloud is usually transformed to another form of environment model representation. One of these forms is a geometric map which represents environment with geometric primitives like lines, polygons, spheres, etc. The goal of this thesis is to design an algorithm which transforms a point cloud into a geometric map.

This bachelor thesis is divided into following parts. Firstly, existing methods for geometric maps construction and various types of environment model representations are explained. Next few sections are devoted to description of partial algorithms and their implementation. The explanation of the algorithm is divided into four parts: raw sensory data filtering, plane equation searching, surface based algorithms for polygon border search, final approximation and triangulation. The algorithms for equations of planes searching are based on [1]. The final section contains experimental results and time consumption analysis.

## 2 Related work

### 2.1 Environment models

In mobile robotics, environment models can be used for path planning, localization and simulation. Since environment changes with time, the model has to change as well in order to provide robot with correct information. With higher level of environment model abstraction it becomes more difficult to build the model.

### 2.1.1 Occupancy grid

A common representation of environment is an occupancy grid. The occupancy grid representation divides multidimensional space into cells, where each cell stores a probabilistic estimate of its state.



Figure 2: Examples of occupancy grid with threshold set to 10 points per cell

### 2.1.2 Landmark map

A landmark map is based on storing information about important places in the environment. These important places are called landmarks and are uses as reference points. A
landmark map is mostly used for mobile robot localization or navigation.

### 2.1.3 Geometric map

A geometric map represents an environment with geometric primitives like lines, polygons, spheres, etc. Construction of a geometric map is not as easy as construction of an occupancy grid, but it provides a compact alternative to grid-based model, requiring considerably less memory and hence less computation for path planning or simulation methods [2].


Figure 3: Geometric map

### 2.1.4 Topological map

A higher level of environment representation is a topological map. In contrast to geometrical representation, topological model is simplified so that unnecessary details are removed and only vital information remains. Topological models are generally described by graph structure where the graph nodes define particular locations in the environment and the graph edges define information for motion between nodes [3].


Figure 4: Example of topological model

### 2.2 Geometrical map construction

### 2.2.1 Random Samples Consensus

Random Samples Consensus (RANSAC) is an iterative method for construction of geometrical map from observed data that may contain large number of outliers. The RANSAC method is based on computing a number of points that lie within a threshold distance from a randomly selected primitive (in this case a plane). This selection is repeated several times and the primitive with the maximum value represents a fit. To generate a plane from point cloud, three points are randomly selected and then plane is constructed from these points. In [4, some methods similar to RANSAC are shown - for instance MLESAC (Maximum LikElihood SAmple Consensus) or MAPSAC (Maximum A Posteriori SAmple Consensus).

An advantage of RANSAC is that it can estimate the parameters even when a significant number of outliers is present in the data set. The disadvantage is, that number of planes or threshold has to be known before map construction. Another disadvantage is computation time. There is a possibility to limit number of iterations to reduce computation time but the obtained solution may not be optimal.

### 2.2.2 Hough Transform

The Hough Transform is a method for detecting parameterized objects. The simplest case of Hough Transform is the linear transform for line detection. The idea is to transform lines into space defined by the line parameters (for example slope and interception). That means a line will be represented as a point in this space which is also called a Hough space or an accumulator. For each point in the dataset all possible lines are computed (with respect to discretization) and then the score of corresponding cell in Hough space is increased. The cells with score higher then a certain threshold represent lines. An example of 2 lines with corresponding Hough space is shown in figure 5. A line in a plane is defined by an equation $y=k x+q$, but as variable $k$ does not have an uniform distribution it is common practice to use polar coordinates instead [5].

For detection of parametrized planes a method explained in [6] will be presented. The planes are commonly represented by the equation $z=m_{x} x+m_{y} y+\rho$, however, to avoid problems bound with infinite slopes when representing vertical planes, the planes should be represented in form

$$
\rho=p_{x} \cos \theta \sin \varphi+p_{y} \sin \varphi \sin \theta+p_{z} \cos \varphi .
$$

$\theta, \varphi$ and $\rho$ define the 3 -dimensional Hough Space corresponding to one plane in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.
The Hough Space is divided into cells and for each point in dataset all cells $(\theta, \varphi, \rho)$, where the point lies in plane defined by $\theta, \varphi$ and $\rho$, are voted. Voting means increasing the score by 1 . The cell with the highest value represents the most prominent plane, the plane


Figure 5: Example of Hough Transform (Original figure downloaded from [5])
that covers most points of the point cloud.

Disadvantage of Hough Transform as well as RANSAC is that number of planes or threshold has to be known before the map is built. Both Hough Transform and RANSAC do not consider any limits of plane span while map is under construction. That means they cannot be used for detecting walls lying in the same plane.

## 3 Theoretical information

As was mentioned in the Introduction, the data in a form of a point cloud take a lot of memory. It is usual to have a few hundred thousand points so it is important to store this data in an appropriate data structure. The most common structures are octree and kd-tree. In this work author had chosen to use kd-tree because it is easy to implement without insertion and deleting operations.

### 3.1 Kd-Tree

A kd-tree is a data structure for storing a finite set of points from k -dimensional space. It is a binary tree in which every node is a k-dimensional point which divides the space into two subspaces with equal number of points in them. This tree is a useful data structure for range search and nearest neighbor search [7].

### 3.1.1 Construction

There are several ways to construct a kd-tree. Recursive method described in algorithm 1 was used. The output is root node which can be used to access any point in the tree.

Input: points $:=$ points in subset, depth $:=$ actual depth in the tree
Output: node $:=$ contains value (point) and child nodes (left, right)
if points.size $=0$ then
return $N U L L$;
end
axis $=$ depth $\bmod 3 ;$
if axis $=0$ then
sort points by axis $x$;
else if axis $=1$ then
sort points by axis $y$;
else
sort points by axis $z$;
end
$k=$ points.size $/ 2$;
node.value $=$ points $[k]$;
node.left $=$ this(points before points $[k]$, depth +1 );
node.right $=$ this(points after points $[k]$, depth +1 );
return node;
Algorithm 1: A construction of a 3d-tree
Because all points were known before tree construction, there was no need to have methods for adding or removing points which would lead to an unbalanced binary tree.

### 3.1.2 Range search

In two dimensional space, the range search returns all points inside the rectangle defined by the bottom left and top right corner. In three dimensional space, points inside a cube are returned. Range search is more efficient than Nearest Range Search because it avoids square root computing.

Input: node $:=$ tested node, $\min :=$ bottom left corner, max $:=$ top right corner, depth $:=$ depth in the tree
Output: points := set of points in range

```
if node \(=N U L L\) then
    return;
end
axis \(=\) depth \(\bmod 3\);
if (axis \(=0\) AND node.value. \(x<\) min. \(x\) )
\(O R \quad\) (axis \(=1\) AND node.value. \(y<\) min.y)
\(O R\) (axis \(=2\) AND node.value. \(z<\min . z\) ) then
    this(node.right, min, max, depth +1 );
    return;
else if (axis \(=0\) AND node.value \(. x>\max . x\) )
\(O R\) (axis \(=1\) AND node.value. \(y>\max . y\) )
OR (axis \(=2\) AND node.value. \(z>\max . z\) ) then
    this(node.left, min, max, depth +1 );
    return;
else
    this(node.left, min, max, depth +1 );
    this(node.right, min, max, depth +1 );
end
if node.value \(<=\max\) AND node.value \(>=\min\) then
    points.add(node.value);
end
```

Algorithm 2: Range search

### 3.2 Plane fitting

Fitting a plane is a problem where the idea is to find a plane that is as close as possible to a set of $n 3$-D points $\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)$. The proximity is measured by a square sum of the orthogonal distances between plane and points.

Let the position of the plane be represented by a point $c$, element of the plane and a
normal vector $n$. The orthogonal distance between a point $p_{i}$ and the plane is then

$$
d_{i}=\left(p_{i}-c\right)^{T} n .
$$

Thus the plane can be found by solving

$$
\mathrm{e}=\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}
$$

To solve this problem the algorithm 3 can be used. For more information, see 8].
Input: points $:=$ set of points
Output: $c:=$ point in the plane, $n:=$ normal vector
foreach points do $c=c+$ point
end
$c=c /$ points.size;
5 for $i=0$ to points.size do

```
\(6 \quad A(0, i)=\) points \((i) . x-c . x\);
\(7 \quad A(1, i)=\) points \((i) . y-c . y\);
\(8 \quad A(2, i)=\) points \((i) . z-c . z\);
9 end
```

$10 \operatorname{svd}(U, S, V, A) ; \quad / / U S V^{T}=A$, Singular Value Decomposition $11 n=(U(1,3), U(2,3), U(3,3))$;

Algorithm 3: Plane fitting

For singular value decomposition author had chosen to use a third-party library called Armadillo. Armadillo is an open-source C++ linear algebra library and can be distributed under the terms of the GNU Lesser General Public License. For detailed information about Armadillo library see [9].

## 4 Filtering

As considered data set contains hundreds thousands of points, which represent only a few planes, most points can be filtered out without losing too much information. Filtering is used to reduce the number of points and to remove the outliers, which speeds up the calculation and suppresses problems with measurement noise.

For filtering author designed a simple algorithm based on uniform sampling of the environment. Firstly, the whole space is divided into equal cubes $(\delta \times \delta \times \delta)$. If there are not enough points inside a cube, it is classified as an outlier and all points inside the cube are removed. Otherwise all points in the cube are replaced with their median value.

To find the value of parameter $\delta$, the largest dimension has to be found first. The largest dimension is the longest distance between minimal and maximal value in dimensions $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ or z . This distance is then divided by 100 and the result of this computation is $\delta$. Division by 100 is optional and can be changed to any integer value. This value represents the maximum number of points in one dimension after the filtering is done. Parameter $\delta$ is one of the most important values, because it is used as a base distance unit in subsequent steps of the method, which will be explained in following text.


Figure 6: Difference between filtered and non filtered points

Input: points $:=$ set of points that will be filtered, maxPointsInDimension $:=$ maximum points in one dimension after filtering is done, minPointsInCube $:=$ maximum number of points in cube to classify points as outliers
Output: filteredPoints $:=$ filtered points
corner $L=$ find left corner ; $/ /$ minimal value in $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z dimension
corner $R=$ find right corner ; // maximal value in $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z dimension
$\Delta=$ corner $R-$ corner $L$;
$4 \delta=$ (the largest dimension in $\Delta$ ) / maxPointsInDimension ;
5 for $i=0$ to $\Delta . x / \delta$ do
for $j=0$ to $\Delta . y / \delta$ do for $k=0$ to $\Delta . z / \delta$ do

Point $p=$ new $\operatorname{Point}(i * \delta, j * \delta, k * \delta)+$ corner $L$;
cube $=$ points.rangeSearch $(p, p+\delta) ; \quad / /$ set of points in cube defined by the left( $p$ ) and the $\operatorname{right}(p+\delta)$ corner
if cube.size $>$ minPointsInCube then
filteredPoints.add( median(cube) );
end
end
end
end
Algorithm 4: Filtering

## 5 Finding planes

To find the walls, plane equations have to be found first. Algorithm for planes equation finding is based on [1]. Author made changes to speed up the calculation and to improve the results. The whole algorithm can be divided into two parts:

- placing small clusters, which place a few hundred clusters to the model,
- spreading clusters, which enlarge and unite the clusters.

A cluster is considered to be a set of points lying in the same plane. After small clusters are placed, there can be more than one cluster per wall, but they can be united in the second part of the algorithm. In the end each cluster should represent one wall.

### 5.1 Placing small clusters

As was mentioned above, a cluster contains only points from the same plane, so author needs methods to decide whether points are from the same plane. For this there was designed a simple algorithm which returns true or false with respect to distance of points from the considered plane. If the distance between all points and a plane is smaller than parameter minDistance, method returns true, otherwise it returns false.

Placing clusters is an iterative process in which points are selected by breadth-first search (BFS) algorithm. In kd-trees, BFS returns points in an approximately uniform distribution. If all points in the range around the selected point are from the same plane, the cluster is placed and this is repeated few hundred times depending on parameter numberOfClusters. Should there be insufficient number of clusters after all points were tested, the algorithm continues with the next stage. That is not possible if the points are selected from the tree randomly because that can lead to endless cycle.

If there is not enough small clusters placed, some of the planes will not be found. This situation is shown in figure 7 a .

Input: numberOfClusters, minPointsInCluster $:=$ minimum points in cluster to place it, range, minDistance
Output: clusters $:=$ set of clusters
foreach point selected by BFS algorithm from kd-tree do cluster $=$ points.rangeSearch $($ point - range, point + range $)$; if cluster.size $<$ minPointsInCluster then continue; end cluster.calculatePlane; if cluster.arePointsFromPlane(minDistance) then clusters.add(cluster); end
end
Algorithm 5: Place small clusters

(a) 20 clusters

(b) 200 clusters

Figure 7: Result of the place small clusters algorithm with a different number of clusters

### 5.2 Spreading clusters

Now there are a few hundred small clusters placed and they are ready to spread. It means that they are enlarged and united. At the end of this process there should be only one cluster per wall.

Clusters are spreading gradually by adding spreadingFactor to maximum distance from center in each dimension. Clusters are competing for points which means that points from a cluster can be stolen by a cluster containing more points. If spreadingFactor is too small, clusters spread slowly or do not spread at all. Otherwise, if it is too large and there exists
more than one wall per plane, they are united into one wall. Empirically, we found that the optimal value is around $5 \delta$.

The process of spreading is repeated until there are at least fifty times less changes than in the most productive run.


Figure 8: Process of spreading, for better illustration points are shown as polygons

Input: spreadingFactor, minPointsInCluster, minDistance, outliersRange, outliersMinPoints
Output: clusters
while changes is larger than maxChanges/50 do foreach clusters do
if cluster.size < minPointsInCluster then cluster.remove;
end
cluster.calculatePlane;
// maxDistanceFromCenter is method, which returns point containing maximum distance from center in each dimension Point range $=$ cluster . maxDistanceFromCenter + spreadingFactor;
pointsSet $=$ points.rangeSearch $($ center - range, center + range $)$;
foreach point in pointsSet do
if point is in plane and is not inside larger cluster then cluster.add(point);
end
end
cluster.calculatePlane;
cluster.removePointsOutsidePlane(minDistance);
// filter used to remove outliers inside the cluster
// if there are not enough points in range around point $p, p$ is removed
cluster.removeOutliers(outliersRange, outliersMinPoints);
end
end
Algorithm 6: Spreading clusters

## 6 Forming polygons

### 6.1 Points to planes fitting

Despite having points in appropriate clusters and having planes equations, points do not lie exactly in the plane. It is caused by measurement noise. To find polygon borders it is useful to fit these points to the plane.

Fitting is done by replacing points with their projection on the particular plane. A projection is the closest point lying exactly in the plane.

### 6.2 Finding polygon borders

Since algorithm should recognize only simple objects, author will assume that these objects are created only from convex polygons [10]. It is easier to find a convex polygon than a general polygon. There exists a solution for general polygons explained in [11].

Before explaining the algorithm, the method isSeeing(), which was used for border finding, has to be explained first. In 2-dimensional space method returns true or false depending on the position of tested point with respect to tested line. Position of a point is determined by the point to line distance. If distance is positive, point lies on the right side, otherwise on the left (if distance is zero, point lies exactly on the line).

In 3-dimensional space it is similar, but method returns position of a point with respect to a plane. To construct this plane, the polygon edge and the plane normal vector are used. This process returns a plane perpendicular to polygon plane and polygon edge will lie on this plane. If tested point does not see any edge of the polygon, it means point is inside the polygon.

For polygon border finding the incremental algorithm is used as described in [12]. The incremental algorithm is an algorithm for computing the convex hull of a set of points. The basic idea is to add points one at a time updating the hull as algorithm proceeds.

```
    Input: points
    Output: polygon
    // Start with simple polygon containing only two vertices
1 polygon.add(points[0]);
2 polygon.add(points[1]);
3 foreach point from points do
    if point is inside polygon then
        continue;
    end
    // Replace all visible edges with two new edges, which connect the
        tested point to the remainder of the old hull
        firstVisibleVertex \(=\) find first visible vertex;
        lastVisibleVertex \(=\) find last visible vertex;
        polygon.removeVerticesBetween(firstVisibleVertex,lastVisibleVertex);
        polygon.addVertexAfter(point, firstVisibleVertex);
end
```

Algorithm 7: Polygon border finding

## 7 Final approximation

### 7.1 Polygons vertices fitting

Due to the measurement noise and filtering algorithm the polygons vertices are not lying in the planes intersection. To improve the result, it is appropriate to snap them to these intersections. Firstly, snapping to the corner is tested and if there is no corner in specified distance from the vertex, the vertex is snapped to the nearest line. Snapping is done only if vertex is not too far from the planes intersection.

To decide whether planes intersection or a corner exists a simple method is used. There has to be at least one point in the range search around the corner (or around the vertex to line intersection projection) in all clusters which were used to compute the corner (or line intersection).


Figure 9: Result of vertices fitting process

Input: polygons $:=$ set of all polygons, maxDistance $:=$ maximum distance to fit the vertex
Output: polygons $:=$ fitted polygons
foreach polygon from polygons do
foreach vertex from polygon.vertices do
closerCorner $=$ find the closer corner;
if closerCorner.distanceTo(vertex) < maxDistance then
vertex $=$ closerCorner; continue;
end
closerInter $=$ find the closest intersection to vertex;
if closerInter.distanceTo(vertex) < maxDistance then // vertex to intersection projection vertex $=$ closerInter.projection(vertex);
end
end
end
Algorithm 8: Polygon vertices fitting

### 7.2 Vertices reduction

After polygons vertices fitting, there can exist more than two points per edge. To reduce this collinear points author used an algorithm 9 .

Input: maxDistance := maximum distance from line to classify points as collinear
Output: polygons $:=$ polygons with reduced vertices
foreach polygon from polygons do
foreach vertex from polygon.vertices do
Line $l=$ construct line from vertex before and after vertex; if $l$.distanceTo(vertex) < maxDistance then
vertex.remove;
end
end
end
Algorithm 9: Vertices reduction

### 7.3 Triangulation

A lot of motion planning or simulation methods accept only a set of triangles in the input. The main reason is that only three vertices are needed to construct the plane and due to the rounding more than three points usually do not lie exactly on the plane.

In this case, triangulation is a process which divides polygons into triangles. Author used a Delaunay triangulation, which maximizes the minimum angle of all the angles of the triangles so they tend to avoid skinny triangles. The main condition is that a circle circumscribing any Delaunay triangle does not contain any other input point in its interior [13].

In algorithm 10 was used a method to decide if a triangle meets Delaunay condition. Firstly, method calculates circumscribing circle center and radius. For any point with distance to center smaller than radius, method returns false. Otherwise, it returns true.


Figure 10: Triangulation example


Figure 11: Result of triangulation process

Input: vertices := polygon vertices ordered counter clockwise
Output: triangles $:=$ set of triangles
1 while vertices.size $>2$ do
foreach vertex $v$ in vertices do
Triangle $t=$ construct triangle from vertices $v_{-1}, v$ and $v_{+1}$;
// index -1 means vertex before $v$
if $t$ meets delaunay condition then
triangles.pushBack $(t)$;
remove $v$ from vertices;
break;
end

| 8 | end |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 | end |

10 end
Algorithm 10: Triangulation

## 8 Experimental results

In this section will be presented the results of algorithm described in sections above. To test the algorithm author used datasets collected by mobile robots in Gerstner laboratory on Czech Technical University in Prague. These datasets are displayed on figure 6a, figure 12 and they are also provided on enclosed CD in folder data (these datasets will be referred to as data1 and data2).


Figure 12: Dataset data2

Importance of the filtering algorithm is shown in table 1. The number of points in each dataset is reduced to approximately 5000 points after the filtering.

| Dataset | Before filtering | After filtering |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data1 | 173661 | 4678 |
| data2 | 523664 | 4153 |

Table 1: Number of points in datasets

| Dataset | Number of placed clusters | Number of founded walls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data1 | 200 | 9 |
| data2 | 200 | 30 |

Table 2: Number of clusters

One of the most important values are numbers of found walls and triangles. In table 2 and 3 this information is displayed. These values can be used as approximate information

| Dataset | Number of triangles |
| :---: | :---: |
| data1 | 20 |
| data2 | 102 |
| Table 3: |  |

about time consumption in motion planning algorithm.

In figure 13 and table 4 can be seen running times of all parts of algorithm. All running times were measured on notebook with 3.9 GB RAM and processor Intel Core 2 Duo CPU P8400 @ $2.26 \mathrm{GHz} \times 2$. The complete computation time is not only sum of partial algorithms because of input/output operations.


Figure 13: Algorithm time-consumption

| Algorithm name | data1 | data2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Filtering | 140 ms | 410 ms |
| Placing small clusters | 10 ms | 20 ms |
| Spreading clusters | 3500 ms | 530 ms |
| Fitting to planes | 0 ms | 0 ms |
| Finding polygons border | 0 ms | 10 ms |
| Polygons vertices fitting | 40 ms | 380 ms |
| Vertices reduction | 0 ms | 0 ms |
| Triangulation | 0 ms | 0 ms |
| Complete | $\mathbf{4 5 8 0 ~ m s}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 6 0 ~ m s ~}$ |

Table 4: Algorithm time-consumption
The final result for dataset datal is shown in figure 9 (before triangulation) and in
figure 11 (after triangulation). The result for data2 is shown in figure 14a and 14b,


Figure 14: Results for dataset data2
The result for dataset datal was also tested as environment model in simulator of Large-Scale Integrated Project Symbrion. In [14] this geometrical map was compared to another two reconstructed models. The simulation on this model was significantly faster than simulation with other 3D models. Performance of the simulation is displayed in table 5 . Complete results as well as simulation description and description of methods used for reconstruction is shown in [14].

| Method used for reconstruction | mean [ms] | $\operatorname{dev}[\mathrm{ms}]$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| GG-based | 333 | 125 |
| GSRM | 115 | 43 |
| Method described in this work | 2.5 | 0.5 |

Table 5: Performance of a simulation

## 9 Implementation

In this section author explains the basic concept of algorithm implementation. The whole implementation can be divided into two parts computation tools and visualization tools

### 9.1 Computation tools

Author programmed computation tools in C++ language and followed concept of the object-oriented programming. The main intention was to create easy to use class, which can be used in many different projects. The main class is called Reconstruction and contains all the algorithms described in the sections above, as well as methods for loading and saving data from and into the file.
Example of the Reconstruction class use:

```
Reconstruction recon;
recon.loadPointsFromFile('with_floor3.txt');
float delta = recon.filterPoints(100, 10);
recon.savePointsToFile('filtered.txt');
```

Program was compiled on Linux operating system but it can also be compiled on Windows OS. For compiling it is important to have Armadillo library installed and to add -larmadillo as parameter for compiler. It is also strongly recommended to have optimization enabled when compiling programs, because Armadillo is a template library.


Figure 15: Class Hierarchy

### 9.2 Visualization tools

For visualization author has decided to use Matlab environment. The programmed scripts can be used to visualize data saved by the computation tools.

### 9.2.1 Display points, polygons, triangles

The only parameter for all the functions described in this section is the name of the file where data are saved.

To visualize points, function displayPoints can be used. It displays non clustered points as small black points and clustered points as bigger coloured points. The points from the same cluster are coloured equally. Triangles and polygons are also distinguished by colours.

### 9.2.2 Display process of computation

The script displayProcess can be used to visualize whole process of computation. The process of placing small clusters is shown up front, and then follow the processes of spreading and final approximation. There are two possibilities on how to visualize the process of spreading:

- in a form of point cloud,
- as polygons.

The script is set to display polygons by default but it can be changed by setting variable showPolygons to false. There are more parameters that can change process of visualization like showPlacing, pauseSpreading, etc. Complete list of parameters with their default values is on the top of the script.

## 10 Conclusion

The main goal of this bachelor thesis was to create an algorithm for detecting the walls in a point cloud measured by the mobile robot. The purpose of this algorithm was to generate a simplified model of an environment around the mobile robot for faster motion planning, faster simulation and for the memory requirements reduction. Many methods were designed for 3D object reconstruction and author explained some of them in section 2 .

Because it is not efficient to operate on unfiltered data, simple filtering algorithm which provides uniformly distributed data and significantly reduces amount of points in the data sets was implemented. The filtering algorithm is simple and filtering process can fail if there are outliers far away from other points in point cloud. Due to the lack of time, the algorithm for outlier removal was not implemented. This algorithm was described in section4.

Filtered points were clustered. The main condition for the clustering algorithm is that all the points in the cluster should lie on the same wall. The clustering algorithm is divided into two parts Placing small clusters and Spreading clusters. Both parts were explained in the section 5 .

The next step was to find the borders of the walls. Author used the surface based algorithm described in 6. Now, the walls are represented by the mathematical equations but due to the measurement noise, border of the walls do not lie exactly on the planes intersections. The section 7 contains description of the simple approximation algorithm which fits border to the closest corner or to the closest planes intersection.

In computer graphics it is usual to represent object by the set of triangles so author programmed an algorithm which transforms the mathematical equations of the walls into the set of triangles.

The algorithm was tested on two datasets and the results are shown in section 8. There is also time-consumption analysis for all sub-algorithms for the both datasets. For visualization were programed a few scripts for the Matlab, which are explained in section 9. Also a few words about the implementations can be found in this section.

The result of the algorithm was used for the purpose of the Large-Scale Integrated Project Symbrion to speed up simulation of robot swarm behaviour. The algorithm was also published at Mathmod 2012-7th Vienna International Conference on Mathematical Modelling [14] and IEEE ICRA workshop on Reconfigurable Modular Robotics [15].
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## Appendix A

## Contents of the enclosed CD

bachelor_thesis.pdf The PDF version of this document. data/ The folder with the used datasets.<br>computation/ The source code used for computation.<br>visualization/ The scripts used for visualization.<br>results/ The folder with computed results.

